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Overview of the Area and the Panel's Assignment

Founded in 1682, Norfolk is the historic, educational, cultural, medical, and financial center of the Hampton Roads region of Virginia, which includes the cities of Norfolk, Portsmouth, Virginia Beach, Chesapeake, Suffolk, Hampton, Newport News, Williamsburg, and Poquoson. The region is the 27th largest metropolitan statistical area in the nation and the fourth largest region in the southeastern United States. The Hampton Roads region is a major attraction and is often referred to as "The Virginia Waterfront." Part of this waterfront can be found in the Ocean View area of Norfolk.

In 1923, the city annexed 24 square miles of Norfolk County, including part of Ocean View. At that time, the area had a family resort character. During the 1930s, negative environmental and economic impacts caused a decline in the area, however, the area had a resurgence in population in the 1940s as a result of World War II.
The panel's study area encompasses East Ocean View and the Shore Drive Gateway.
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1987 ULI STUDY AND SUBSEQUENT STUDIES AND ACTIVITIES

In 1987, a ULI Advisory Services panel went to Norfolk to evaluate the development and redevelopment opportunities in the Willoughby/Ocean View area. The study area included all of Willoughby/Ocean View, and the panel provided general recommendations and implementation strategies to help the community become a viable waterfront community in terms of quality of life, image, and property values. The city embraced the panel's recommendations and has been successful in implementing several of the recommendations, making Ocean View a better place than it was in 1987. This report presents an evaluation of the city's progress since 1987.

When the panel went on site in 1987, panel members found an area that held a lot of promise, even though it had come on hard times in recent years. The panel developed several recommendations for the area, and a lot of progress has been made. The 1987 study was also an impetus for more study in the area and for some very aggressive action on the part of the city. In 1990, Urban Design Associates completed a master plan for the Ocean View area. In 1994, a public forum was held to develop a master plan for the Willoughby/Ocean View area. In 1997, the area became Willoughby/Ocean View. Commonly referred to as the Duany Plan, this effort helped form a consensus as to the future of Ocean View.

Since these plans and studies have been completed, the Norfolk Redevelopment and Housing Authority (NRHA) has completed some visionary activities, such as its infill development and redevelopment efforts, that have led to some major improvements in the area. NRHA has initiated several redevelopment projects, including the Willoughby/Ocean View, Heywood, and the 17th Street Bridge projects, which have provided strategic funding for replacing blighted properties with strategically placed infill development, and has provided funds for aesthetic improvements to properties, which in turn spurred additional neighborhood revitalization.

The city also has begun to purchase the properties in the 90-acre tract that will be redeveloped known as East Beach. This area was associated with the Willoughby/Ocean View area and is a strategic redevelopment area for all of East Ocean View. The southern gateway to East Beach and East Beach View is Shore Drive, where it crosses over Little Creek. The Virginia Department of Transportation has begun the Shore Drive Bridge project and the continued revitalization of Ocean View.

East Ocean View is remarkable and unique. East Beach has some of the most spectacular views to be found in the Hampton Roads area. In its natural state, it is one of the most precious assets of Norfolk. Its redevelopment as a vibrant residential area should be of high priority to the city because it will also stimulate revitalization throughout the Ocean View community.

THE PANEL'S ASSIGNMENT

The panel's assignment was twofold. The first task was to evaluate the progress in Willoughby and Ocean View as measured against ULI's 1987 panel study findings and recommendations. The second task was to make recommendations for the continued redevelopment of a more specific study area—East Beach and its immediate surroundings. The city sought input on the best way to proceed with the redevelopment of the East Beach area, as well as recommendations on how the areas immediately adjacent to East Beach (including the Shore Drive and Ocean View Avenue gateways) should be redeveloped to ensure the success of the East Beach project and the continued revitalization of Ocean View.
SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

After the interviews and focus groups that were held to meet with concerned citizens, the panel concluded that there is a consensus on the issues and solutions. The people in East Ocean View are very interested in their area and want to see it improved. They realize the area’s potential and feel that—for this potential to be realized—there needs to be an effort to move ahead quickly on revitalization and redevelopment. Therefore, the common theme recurring throughout this report is “Just Do It.” Three priorities emerged during the interview process and the panel’s evaluation of information. The city needs to do the following:

- Find funding and move quickly to acquire remaining parcels in East Beach.
- Private development of East Beach by selecting a master developer from the private sector for the project.
- Refocus NRHA’s efforts on finishing the acquisition process in East Beach and then continuing to stabilize adjacent areas through “spot” acquisitions.

The following general recommendations are grouped into four categories: market potential, planning and design, development strategies, and implementation. Detailed discussion of each category can be found later in this report.

MARKET POTENTIAL
- The East Beach project can be successful if it is well executed and positioned to meet market demands. Its success will be enhanced by providing a program that will maximize early sales to create the momentum needed to complete the entire project. East Beach’s success undoubtedly will have an important impact on the adjacent areas in Ocean View.
- The proposed target markets for East Beach are young couples and singles without children, empty nesters, retirees, and others.
- The commercial parcel on the northeast corner of Shore Drive and Pretty Lake Avenue should be converted to apartment units designed so that the first floor of the building can be converted to retail or office space when demand exists. The 90 apartment units proposed in the Duany plan fall short of the optimum number of units typically required for economies of scale when managing an apartment development. An additional 48 units can be added by converting the commercial parcel while not giving up the potential for commercial use in the future.

A long-term comprehensive marketing strategy for Ocean View will be needed to change the perceptions of the community. The marketing plan for the East Beach project should be viewed as one component of an overall marketing campaign for Ocean View.
- Consistent signage and landscaping would help improve the marketing and market potential of East Ocean View.
- Shore Drive south of Little Creek is a major “marketing window” for East Ocean View. This area should be redeveloped to present a positive image for East Beach and East Ocean View.
- Neighborhood-oriented retail that can support both the East Beach project and future neighborhood development should be provided as soon as possible.

PLANNING AND DESIGN
- The master-planned community of East Beach should be developed to the highest standards as a premiere urban neighborhood with the broader goal of revitalizing East Ocean View and the entire bayfront.
- Landscape and streetscape enhancements of Shore Drive and the three gateways to East Beach are strongly recommended as part of the first phase of neighborhood development.
- The significant stands of live oaks and other trees should be preserved and integrated into the overall plan for East Beach, similar to what NRHA has done at other sites.
- Any significant existing structures and reusable infrastructure should be preserved.
- The Bay Point wall should be removed to foster the integration of the East Beach and Bay Point neighborhoods into a broader revitalized community.

- An open space and pedestrian system, architectural treatment of gateways, and landmark structures (such as civic buildings, towers, and pavilions) are recommended to provide neighborhood character and orientation to the waterfront and other key places in the neighborhood.
- Alternative stormwater systems, such as infiltration structures, should be explored.
- Traffic calming devices (e.g., speed humps, islands, and shifts in alignment) are recommended to preserve the tranquility of the neighborhoods.
- Nonconforming land uses adjacent to the East Beach area should be purchased, and the land should be banked for future redevelopment.

A Gateway Overlay District should be considered for the gateway areas, especially the Shore Drive gateway, to establish design criteria for future development to enhance the image of East Beach.
- An “urban forest” (tree nursery) should be created as an interim landscape feature that will be partially retained when redevelopment occurs. A series of shade trees (bosques, live oak, linden, mahogany) should be planted, along with a variety of evergreens, to screen and enhance the visual character of the corridor. A street tree-planting program could extend into the vacant sites as part of this plan. The “urban forest” can also be considered as a source nursery for the East Beach project.
The area east of Shore Drive directly south of Little Creek is currently a large parking lot for Taylor Landing. Consideration should be given to relocating this large parking lot to the open space west of Cobb's Marina, east of the commercial frontage on Shore Drive. The parking area can then be used for a waterfront/marina development site.

The commercial frontage east of Shore Drive should be acquired, cleared, and held. The urban forest landscape treatment should be incorporated into community sites.

General opinion is that the trailer park is an underutilized land use for its location. As the largest parcel of land that anchors the eastern edge of the Pretty Lake basin, the site is key in setting the redevelopment tone of the Pretty Lake basin area. Boat traffic into Pretty Lake will then become a reality, and facilities for these boats whether private, semipublic, or public must be addressed. As the site is one of the gateway parcels, any proposed land use for it should be consistent with the image and character being established in the East Beach project.

As new and redeveloped residential units come on line, the shopping center on Shore Drive and Pretty Lake Avenue should be redeveloped to support the nearby communities. Redevelopment opportunities on the north shore of Pretty Lake include new marine-themed waterfront and mixed-use retail from the new Shore Drive bridge to 21st Bay Street and new waterfront residential development from 21st Bay to 16th Bay streets. Waterside pedestrian access to semipublic and private boat slips is recommended. This area should be redeveloped in conjunction with the trailer park parcel.

The existing lakefront ball field and recreation center should be relocated off the waterfront, and the recreation center should be expanded. New recreation facilities should be located in a north-south corridor extending from the current recreation center to the intersection of Pleasant Avenue and 21st Bay Street. This new open-space and recreation center should be integrated with the East Ocean View residential neighborhood between Pleasant Avenue and the new Pretty Lake Avenue West.

Visual images need to be improved in the vicinity of the Pretty Lake Avenue marina. Options to improve view corridors to the marine and water should be explored.

Recommendations for Pretty Lake Avenue include clearing it up, new fencing or screen walls, landscaping, lighting, and graphics. A new Pretty Lake shoreline should include a combination of pedestrian paths, recreational trails, and boardwalks that link to existing and proposed pedestrian sidewalks and greenways with the Shore Drive bridge underpass. This new access will enhance the area.

**DEVELOPMENT STRATEGIES**

- The city should develop and commit a funding or financing vehicle that would allow NRHA to immediately acquire or commit to acquire all of the land necessary to complete the 90-acre East Beach project. This financing plan should also provide for the related site-clearing costs, removal of overhead power and telephone cables, and other costs associated with cleaning up and rezoning the available parcels.

- The city should develop a plan for the future of the East Beach Project area. The plan should address the need for regulatory approvals, the development of a master plan, and the coordination of efforts with the East Beach Community Association.

- The city should work with the NRHA and other stakeholders to develop a plan for the future of the East Beach Project area. This plan should include a detailed strategy for the acquisition and development of the site.

**IMPLEMENTATION**

- The city should consider the possibility of forming a joint venture with the NRHA and other stakeholders to develop the East Beach Project area.

- The city should work with the NRHA and other stakeholders to develop a plan for the future of the East Beach Project area. This plan should include a detailed strategy for the acquisition and development of the site.
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RESPONSE TO THE 1987 ULI PANEL RECOMMENDATIONS

INTRODUCTION

In 1987, the city of Norfolk invited a ULI Advisory Services panel to prepare a development opportunity analysis and implementation strategy for the Willoughby/Ocean View area. As part of the 1987 panel assignment, the city asked for an evaluation of the progress in four key areas: development of strategic sites; reduction of residential densities; community consensus; and public image.

It is the panel's opinion that the city, NRHA, and the Willoughby/Ocean View community have made significant progress in moving the redevelopment of the area forward. Visually, economically, and spiritually, there seems to be a general impression that things are better, things are happening, and progress is being made. However, there is also the feeling that, especially at the East Beach project site, things are going too slowly. For some, frustration is mounting. The panel spent most of its time concentrating on this project and has come up with what it hopes will be valuable recommendations. The following is the panel's evaluation on the progress to date on the 1987 recommendations in the four key areas.

DEVELOPMENT OF STRATEGIC SITES

The 1987 ULI panel identified four strategic sites that it believed could offer key opportunities for development or redevelopment: amusement park site—Ocean View Center, Sarah Constant site, Little Creek Aggressive Conservation District, and Navy spoils site.

AMUSEMENT PARK SITE—OCEAN VIEW CENTER

The city has done an excellent job creating a cultural and recreation center in the newly developed Ocean View Beach Park. This center, on the site of a former amusement park, serves as an important resource for the neighborhood and the entire city. It offers beach access and a venue for festivals and concerts. Another part of the amusement park site was redeveloped as part of the Pinewell-by-the-Bay development, which has helped spur other re-development efforts in the area.

SARAH CONSTANT SITE

At a second strategic site, the Sarah Constant Beach Park, the 1987 panel recommended the creation of a community recreation center. Because of the central location of this site, the panel believed that this could serve as a community recreation and commercial center for the area. It recommended relocating the library to this site and re-aligning the intersection of Ocean View Avenue and Tidewater Drive to improve traffic flow and, more important, to open more land for commercial development. These other recommendations remain to be done.

LITTLE CREEK AGGRESSIVE CONSERVATION DISTRICT

Through creation of the East Ocean View Redevelopment Project, the city and the NRHA have made significant progress on the panel's recommendations to establish an aggressive conservation district between Shore Drive and Little Creek Harbor. By assembling and clearing the land, creating a plan for a residential beach community, and creating opportunities for private development, the city has taken important steps toward implementing this recommendation.

REDUCTION OF RESIDENTIAL DENSITIES

In 1987, the panel recognized that to make significant improvements in the living environment in Ocean View, residential densities would need to be reduced. The panel recommended taking several steps to reduce density such as revising and enforcing the zoning code, designating special districts, amassing several acres to promote well-planned projects, requiring site plan review, and requiring use permits in all multiple-dwelling zones.

NAVY SPOILS SITE

The 1987 panel recommended that the city of Norfolk and the Navy work together to develop this site. It recommended refurbishing and expanding the Norfolk information center, developing a commercial hotel catering to business travelers, and adding a mix of uses including the expansion of the marina and office development. To date, no development has occurred other than improvements in the information center including the wetlands walk. The current federal legislation, which defines how the site can be used, appears to be an obstacle to development and should be reviewed. This site remains an important development opportunity for the west end of Ocean View, which has yet to be tapped.

The city of Norfolk has been buying and clearing properties in the East Beach project area since the 1987 ULI panel made recommendations to do so.

The city responded quickly after the panel's visit to change the zoning code in an effort to begin to reduce densities. The resort/residential (RR) zoning was eliminated, and the maximum allowable units was reduced from 40 to 20 per acre. The minimum required acreage for planned developments was reduced from ten to five acres. While these steps have been effective, the 1998 panel encourages the city to be aggressive in its enforcement of these reduced densities and to consider, given the nature of the proposed development in East Beach, whether further steps should be taken to limit densities, especially in those areas closest to the project site.
The 1998 panel congratulates the city and NRHA for taking these important steps to reduce residential densities. The panel encourages the NRHA to pursue these strategies aggressively in the next few years, especially in the area west of Shreve Drive and north of Pretty Lake. By concentrating its efforts here, NRHA can make a significant impact and maximize the positive spin-off effects of the substantial investment in East Beach and the new bridge. Furthermore, this approach can serve as a model to be applied to other areas in Ocean View and beyond.

COMMUNITY CONSENSUS

The 1998 panel was asked to consider whether community consensus in Ocean View had coalesced to the point where public and private intervention could be effective. The panel found that—because of the work of the Mayor's Task Force on Ocean View and the efforts of the city and the NRHA—a strong community consensus has emerged with regard to the needs and opportunities in the Willoughby/Ocean View area. Much of this consensus has come about as a result of the public improvements and private investments throughout the area. Local residents, especially those who have recently moved to the area or improved their properties, say that "things are happening" and parts of Ocean View are becoming a more attractive place to live. Unfortunately, some of the community consensus and motivation for action has come from concern on the part of other East View residents or property owners who feel that the quality of life has deteriorated because of the slow pace of redevelopment of the East Beach project.

These mixed messages indicate that residents and property owners harbor some distrust and dissatisfaction with the way the NRHA and the city are going about the redevelopment, especially of the East Beach project. There seems to be a general lack of up-to-date knowledge about the city's plans for the area, which, in turn, leads to the feeling that the city does not always listen to the concerns of or care about the residents and property owners. The panel recommends that the city and NRHA reach out to community residents and property owners in the following ways: hold neighborhood meetings, publish regular updates, solicit citizen input, and regularly use many approaches to share information about their plans and progress in the area.

The charette organized by the firm of Dunny Plater-Zyberk several years ago seemed to be a good example of how this community involvement can occur. However, according to its interviews, the panel does not see much evidence that this kind of community notification and involvement has occurred since 1994.

PUBLIC IMAGE

The panel spoke with almost 100 local resource people, some of whom live in Ocean View, and some of whom live in other areas of the city and the region including Ghent, River View, Chesapeake, Virginia Beach, and others. The general agreement is that Ocean View can offer a high-quality "beach community" lifestyle that is less formal, more relaxed, and, to many, more appealing than other parts of the city. Many people referred to Ocean View, especially the East Beach project, as a "diamond in the rough"—an underdeveloped asset and one of the last opportunities in an almost built-out city to create a completely new planned community. Residents, potential residents, and others are enthusiastic about the opportunity that the East Beach project, as well as other Ocean View developments like Pinewell-by-the-Bay and Bay Oaks, offers.

However, the public image of the area today is somewhat mixed. Generally, the communities at the west end of the beachfront—Willoughby, West Ocean View—are perceived as they were in 1987, as attractive, stable communities. There is some concern that incompatible uses, especially along Ocean View Avenue, bring the wrong element into the neighborhood and that, while progress has been made, the problem has not gone away.

Moving east along Ocean View, the image of the community becomes somewhat more problematic. Nuisance and incompatible land uses increase and street improvements become more spotty. New developments, residential and commercial rehabilitations, creation of parks and recreational open space, and clearance of blighted properties have all helped improve the neighborhood image. The assembly and clearance of land for the East Beach project, as well as other actions, all help to create the sense that something important and positive is happening on the beach and that—some day—it will be the place to be.

According to the City of Norfolk Police Department, there has been a general decrease in crime in the area as a result of activities such as the Planning District 7 Initiative, Healthy Apartment Communities Initiative, and restructure of the NEAT teams (groups of officers that investigate environmental and code enforcement violations). Also, decreasing the number of properties where crime can occur (a result of demolition) has had an impact on crime in the area.

However, the perception still exists that only long-term residents and urban pioneers will live in most of East Ocean View. Although crime statistics generally are down, certain areas are perceived as dangerous, while many social problems are thought to still exist, including drug use, vandalism, theft, and even the potential for violent crime. In addition, for potential new family residents, the question of quality of public schools in the area was continually raised. The city is moving aggressively to enforce health and safety codes and to address crime in the area. Public impressions should continue to improve with more widespread infill development and rehabilitations, continued reduction in densities, and infrastructure improvements.

Another perception by area residents is that there is a dearth of community retail services in the immediate area, especially in East Ocean View. A critical mass of pharmacies, cleaners, small markets, photo shops, and other convenience retail does not exist within a two-mile drive from most homes in the area. This kind of commercial development, once adequate demand can support it, could significantly enhance the appeal of the area.

The study area has seen a decrease in crime since the 1987 study for a variety of reasons, including an increased police presence in the area.
Besides a continuation of the programs already under way, several of the 1987 recommendations not yet implemented could be put into place. While infrastructure improvements have been made, they are widely dispersed and not always located most strategically. There does not appear to be an overall plan for where and when those infrastructure improvements will be made. The limited funds for infrastructure improvements need to be put where they will create synergy with other investments, be the most visible, and have the most impact for attracting private investment. For example, the ULI 1987 panel recommended themed signage to create a sense of place and identity throughout Ocean View, especially along the Ocean View and Tidewater avenues. This strategy is even more important today to leverage the momentum of other investments and to enhance the area's image to potential investors and residents.

In summary, the 1998 panel believes a great deal of progress has been made since 1987, but more still needs to be done. This next four sections of this report present the panel's findings and recommendations, which were developed after the panel completed a tour of East Ocean View, interviewed more than 100 citizens who were interested in the fate of East Ocean View, and reviewed data and previously completed plans and studies. Each section was developed in conjunction with the others to ensure consistency and feasible recommendations.

MARKET POTENTIAL

STUDY AREA ANALYSES: OCEAN VIEW AND EAST BEACH PROJECT AREA

The people of Norfolk have an unparalleled opportunity to reclaim highly marketable property for redevelopment. From a marketing perspective, the characteristics of Ocean View and the East Beach project area set the stage for new development that, if carefully planned and professionally executed, will become a special niche in the region.

The panel assessed the critical factors that define the market potential of the development opportunities in the community. Areas of analysis included study area characteristics, economic and demographic trends, housing supply and demand, and market positioning strategies. These categories form the framework for redefining the community's image, for evaluating marketing assets and liabilities, and for developing a marketing plan that leverages the community's strengths. In total, Ocean View has the market potential to become the region's "rediscovered" waterfront recreation area and beachfront living environment.
INTERVIEWS CONDUCTED BY THE PANEL AND SUPPORTED BY THE GROUPS COMMISSIONED BY THE ULI CLEARLY INDICATE THAT OCEAN VIEW HAS NOT SHAKEN OFF THE NEGATIVE IMAGE IDENTITY IN THE 1987 ULI STUDY. PERCEPTIONS OF HIGH CRIME RATES, VIOLENCE, PROBLEMS, AND WIDESPREAD BLIGHT CONTINUE AS SIGNIFICANT MARKETING BARRIERS TO OVERCOME.

A LONG-TERM COMPREHENSIVE MARKETING STRATEGY FOR OCEAN VIEW, IN ADDITION TO THE PHYSICAL IMPROVEMENT PLANS UNDER WAY, WILL BE REQUIRED TO CHANGE PERCEPTIONS OF THE COMMUNITY. THE MARKETING PROGRAM FOR EAST BEACH MUST BE CONSIDERED AS JUST ONE COMPONENT OF AN OVERALL CAMPAIGN TO REDEFINE OCEAN VIEW.

VISIBILITY

"GATEWAYS"—THE DISTINCT PHYSICAL AND VISUAL STRATEGIES—TO OCEAN VIEW AND EAST BEACH ARE IMPORTANT MARKETING TOOLS TO DEFINE THE COMMUNITY AND SET THE THEME FOR RESIDENTS AND VISITORS. OPPORTUNITIES FOR USING MARKETING LOCALITY TOOLS AND GATEWAYS ALONG OCEAN VIEW AVENUE INCLUDE THE TRANSITION FROM THE HAMPTON ROADS BRIDGE-TUNNEL AND THE INTERSECTIONS AT TIDEWATER DRIVE, WISCONSIN STREET, AND CHESAPEAKE BOULEVARD. ALL OF THESE ARE IMPROVEMENTS WITH CONSISTENT SIGNAGE AND LANDSCAPING.

THE NEW SHORE DRIVE BRIDGE OVER LITTLE CREEK IS A CRITICAL ELEMENT IN SETTING THE MARKET APPEAL FOR EAST OCEAN VIEW AND EAST BEACH. IN THE LONG RUN, RECLAIMING THE MARKETING WINDOW ALONG SHORE DRIVE SOUTH OF LITTLE CREEK IS CRUCIAL, BECAUSE IT IS THE PRIMARY ENTRY POINT TO THE COMMUNITY. OVER THE NEXT FEW YEARS, THE ENHANCED VISIBILITY PROVIDED BY THE RISE BRIDGE WILL HELP CREATE THE MARKET TRANSITION.

ECOLOGICAL AND DEMOGRAPHIC TRENDS


THE HAMPTON ROADS PLANNING DISTRICT COMMISSION ESTIMATES THE TOTAL CUMULATIVE JOB LOSSES IN THE PAST DECADE REACHED 74,000, RESULTING IN A REGIONAL ECONOMIC IMPACT LOSS OF $4 BILLION ANNUALLY. ONLY IN THE PAST FEW YEARS HAS THE ECONOMY FULLY REGAINED THE EMPLOYMENT LOSSES—HOWEVER, WITH A SHIFT TO LOWER PAYING RETAIL AND SERVICE JOBS, THE COST OF HOUSING REMAINS PROVIDING LOW INCOME.

THE CITY OF NORFOLK IS THE ECONOMIC AND FINANCIAL HUB OF SOUTHEAST VIRGINIA. THE SOUTHEAST VIRGINIA REGION HAS BEEN AT THE CENTER OF REGIONAL ECONOMIC ACTIVITY DUE TO THE ATTRACTION OF A DIVERSIFIED CORPORATE BASE. HAMPTON ROADS, FOR EXAMPLE, IS ONE OF THE WORLD'S LARGEST DEEPWATER PORTS, MAKING IT A CENTER FOR IMPORT AND EXPORT TRAFFIC (INCORPORATING COAL). IN ADDITION, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT EFFORTS HAVE SUCCESSFULLY YIELDED MAJOR EXPANSIONS AND RELOCATIONS OF MAJOR COMPANIES SUCH AS DOW, CHUBB, AND GEICO.

POSITIVE TRENDS NOW INDICATE THAT NOFOLK IS LOSING ITS SHARE OF VALUABLE NEW EMPLOYMENT GROWTH TO OUTLIVING SUBURBAN AREAS, AND NOFOLK HAS BEEN UNABLE TO MAINTAIN ITS BASE OF MIDDLE- AND UPPER-MIDDLE-INCOME HOUSEHOLDS.

DIRECTIONS OF NEW EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSEHOLD GROWTH INDICATE THAT THE SUBURBAN COMMUNITIES ON THE PENINSULA, IN VIRGINIA BEACH, AND IN CHESAPEAKE ARE CAPTURING THE YOUNG AND GROWING FAMILY MARKET SEGMENTS, AGES 25 TO 45. THESE DEMOGRAPHIC GROUPS ARE LOOKING FOR A LOW-DENSITY ENVIRONMENT, QUALITY SCHOOLS, A SAFE AND SECURE NEIGHBORHOOD WITH ACCESS TO RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES, AND A REASONABLE COMMUTE TO WORK.

THE CITY OF NORFOLK HAS, THROUGH REHABILITATION DEVELOPMENT, SUCCESSFULLY CAPTURED URBAN-OCEAN HOMES, PROVIDING FOR PROXIMITY TO THE WATERFRONT, THE ARTS AND CULTURAL INSTITUTIONS, AND THE CULTURAL DISTRICT. THE HERITAGE AT THE FREE MASON HARBOR APARTMENT COMPLEXES ARE EXCELLENT EXAMPLES OF FURTHER INVESTMENT IN THE WATERFRONT. MARKET SEGMENTS pet 의사 the WMW WATERFRONT: YOUNG COUPLES, CHILDREN, ELEVATOR LIVING, AND RETIREMENT HOMEOWNERS.
As part of the renaissance of East Ocean View, the Norfolk Redevelopment and Housing Authority has assembled land that is being sold for residential development.

**THE COMPETITIVE MARKET—SUPPLY AND DEMAND ASSESSMENT**

The city of Norfolk is a supply-constrained market for new housing stock, particularly in the middle to upper-price range. It is difficult for executives commuting daily or relocating to the city of Norfolk for employment to find new housing within a short distance of the central business district. This difficulty is evidenced by data showing that fewer than 100 new construction closings occurred in the city of Norfolk between January and October 1998. As a consequence, Norfolk has experienced significant migration of residents to Virginia Beach, Chesapeake, and other Hampton Roads communities. A common theme throughout the interviews was that the city of Norfolk would likely fail to stop this migration if it tried to "out-suburb the suburbs." Finding housing in Norfolk is even more of a challenge if buyers are looking for new houses with bayfront or beachfront amenities. It has been reported that when waterfront lots are placed on the market in some NRHA projects, those lots are sold quickly, with one of the most recent projects being sold in a lottery fashion.

**CURRENT HOUSING PRODUCT AND MARKET SEGMENTS**

The types of housing product currently found in the Norfolk and Ocean View market area range from rental apartments to condominiums, townhouses, duplexes, and single family dwellings. The pricing distribution for resale closings of single-family detached homes in Norfolk during 1998 is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Price Range</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than $100,000</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100,000-$160,000</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$160,000-$250,000</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than $250,000</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ocean View is dominated by rental housing with only a small percentage of homeownership. NRHA has made strides to achieve more of a balance between ownership and rental. The tenant and homeowner base in Ocean View is currently composed of younger singles and couples with and without children, empty nesters, and senior adults in the preretirement and retirement stages.

The Ocean View area has gained moderate to upscale for-sale housing in the past several years with projects undertaken by NRHA, such as Pinewell-by-the-Bay, Bay Oaks Place, Cottage Place, and 17th/19th Bay. These projects have new homes priced from $200,000 to more than $500,000. In addition to NRHA projects, private developers have met with recent success, as evidenced by the development of Spy Glass, an attached for-sale housing project located immediately adjacent to the East Beach Townhouse. Townhouse residences sold from $155,000 for interior units to $230,000 for waterfront units. In a 14-month period, 61 units were sold. The developer recently has begun premarketing and construction efforts on an adjacent property, Bay Breeze, for 128 single-family residences to be priced from $250,000 to $450,000. The developer has started together a builder program and is projecting an absorption pace of 30 to 40 units per year.

**MARKET POSITIONING**

While the target market segments for East Beach will be similar to the existing Ocean View resident in age, those people will be dramatically different in terms of income, education level, interests, and employment. The panel believes that people in the proposed target market are as follows:

- singles and young couples without children;
- empty nesters;
- preretirees; and
- retirees.

These groups should be specifically targeted in the marketing campaign, and little effort should be exerted to attract either families with children or second-home buyers. Nonetheless, there will be some interest from families with children when they are looking for affordable beachfront living alternatives. Until the perceptions of schools and safety are improved in Ocean View, the attractiveness to the family buyer will be limited. There may also be a limited number of second-home buyers looking for beachfront property whose primary homes are in cities like Richmond and Williamsburg. The East Beach area would provide mid-to-upper-middle-priced beachfront housing in a quality development currently difficult to find in the Norfolk-Virginia Beach area. For the target markets and the suggested product types, there is a quantifiable opportunity for success in the local new and resale housing market, with an absorption schedule that will produce a reasonable market return.

Other projects in the area, such as the Bay Point development, are part of the overall revitalization efforts in East Ocean View.

**RETAIL**

Service retail will be needed to serve the needs of existing and projected residents in the East Ocean View-East Beach area. Currently, retail needs are served at nearby Little Creek Road, which has grocery stores, fast food restaurants, pharmacies, and service retailers. In the early years of the East Beach project, it will be difficult to make a case for destination retail. However, neighborhood-oriented retail should be provided as quickly as possible to support the East Beach project and to support the vision of neighborhood development. Examples of the types of services appropriate for the project include convenience markets, pharmacies, video rental stores, copy and small business services, dry cleaners, coffee shops, and bookstores. If planners consider these uses, it is unlikely that there would be an aggregate demand for more than 10,000 to 15,000 square feet of retail space in the near future. With the eventual success of East Beach, opportunities may arise for redeveloping its existing commercial space and attracting new tenants. Potential for new development opportunities associated with the Bay or marina frontage that is found on the south side of East Beach near the Shore Drive bridge should also materialize.
RECOMMENDED PRODUCT MIX

The East Beach project can be successful if it is well executed and positioned to meet market demands. Success will be enhanced by providing a program that will maximize early absorption and create critical mass and momentum that will result in the build-out of the project. East Beach’s success undoubtedly will have an important effect on the adjacent areas in Ocean View.

The preliminary assessment has led to a proposed product mix of residential and commercial properties that are similar to the Duany plan recommended by Zimmerman and Associates. While the unit types and overall mix are fairly consistent with the Duany plan, the panel has adjusted the unit size and proposed pricing for these homes and lots. Another recommendation is to convert the commercial parcel on the northeast corner of Shore Drive and Preddy Lake Avenue to apartment units whose ground floor can be converted to retail or office space should the demand arise. The 90 apartment units proposed in the Duany plan fall short of the optimum number of units typically required for economies of scale for managing an apartment development. Conversion of the commercial parcel will add 48 units with no loss of the potential for commercial use in the future.

An absorption schedule indicating the buildout for the East Beach project over an eight-year period has also been prepared. This product mix and pricing should produce gross land sales revenue of approximately $18.5 million over the eight-year period. Net proceeds from land sales operations will be substantially less after accounting for development costs, general and administrative expenses, sales and marketing costs, community operations subsidy, amenity development, financing costs, taxes, and project management. The success of the East Beach project should serve as a catalyst for adjacent properties.

PROPOSED PRODUCT MIX FOR THE EAST BEACH PROJECT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRODUCT TYPE</th>
<th>UNIT MIX</th>
<th>TOTAL UNITS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>For Sale—Attached</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condo Apartments (1,100-1,400 s.f.)</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Townhouse/Duplex (1,300-1,700 s.f.)</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxury Townhouse/Duplex (1,800-2,350 s.f.)</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For Sale—Detached</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small (1,400-1,850 s.f.)</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium (1,800-2,400 s.f.)</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large (interior lot) (2,400-3,000 s.f.)</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large (water view) (2,500-4,000 s.f.)</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estate (3,000-5,000 s.f.)</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental Apartments (900-1,400 s.f.)</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Residential Uses</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Uses</td>
<td>2.4 acres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PLANNING AND DESIGN

THE EAST BEACH SITE

The master-planned community of East Beach should be developed to the highest standards as a premier urban neighborhood with the broader goal of revitalizing East Ocean View and the entire bayfront. East Beach should serve as an outstanding prototype for revitalization of waterfront communities in the Hampton Roads region and the nation.

Creating and promoting public open space and pedestrian linkages to amenities and land uses: improving access for all residents to the beach, Pretty Lake, and Little Creek; preserving the existing mature tree canopy; and completing electrical lines underground are all signatures of premiere development for which East Beach should be known.

Shore Drive is the southern approach and Ocean View Avenue is the western approach to East Beach, with the latter continuing eastward into the project site up to the public parking lot of City Beach between 28th Bay and 30th Bay streets. The neighborhood's east-west central spine is Pleasant Avenue, which forms the spine of East Beach and, in fact, most of East Ocean View. Pretty Lake Avenue is the southern boundary and extends in segments east of Shore Drive to the Cottage Line neighborhood. Maps reviewed by the panel indicate that at one time Pretty Lake Avenue was a continuous collector road from Whitt Avenue on the west to 30th Bay Street on the east. Landscape and streetscape enhancements of Shore Drive and the three gateways to East Beach are strongly recommended as part of the first phase of developing the neighborhood.

The north-south local streets, which are 21st through 33th Bay streets, link the beach on the north to Pretty Lake Avenue on the south. The typical East Ocean View residential block is 300 feet wide. Existing utilities include water, sewer, overhead electric, and a minimal stormwater collection system. In 1990, water distribution and sewer mains were upgraded in conjunction with the reconstruction of Pleasant Avenue and Pretty Lake Avenue.

The bayfront beach and dunes and the hilly terrain between Pleasant Avenue and Pretty Lake Avenue, where the largest clumps of live oaks and other trees are found, afford the waterfront views of Chesapeake Bay and Pretty Lake that are the site's more extraordinary natural features. Preserving significant clumps of the existing live oaks and other trees, similar to the process used by NRHA in other East Ocean View properties, should be a general policy for East Beach.

Since 1993, NRHA has acquired several East Beach properties, cleared the existing commercial and residential structures, and cleaned up and maintained the land. Several private properties remain to be acquired, especially on the eastern half of the site, including small houses and apartment buildings. As with the trees, NRHA should preserve any significant existing structures and reusable infrastructure, as feasible.

THE DUANY PLAN FOR THE EAST BEACH SITE

The painted brick wall on the east side of 30th Bay Street was built by the original developer of Bay Point as a physical and symbolic barrier between his new waterfront condominiums and the blighted East Ocean View blocks west of 30th Bay Street. Removal of the Bay Point wall is recommended to help integrate the East Beach and Bay Point neighborhoods into a broader revitalized community. The planned construction of an elevated Shore Drive bridge will afford new views of East Beach, East Ocean View, Chesapeake Bay, Pretty Lake, and Little Creek. On-site views are mostly confined to the existing north-south and east-west street corridors and are generally uninterrupted and featureless, or have minimal character. These corridors provide people with little sense of orientation. An open space and pedestrian system, with archetypical treatment of gateways, and landmark structures such as civic buildings, towers, and pavilions are recommended to provide neighborhood character and orientation to the waterfront and to other key places in the neighborhood.

Also mentioned in the 1995 master plan is the need for as much as three acres of stormwater retention, possibly in ponds located off site, east of Shore Drive. The panel cautions against reliance on off-site stormwater facilities for reasons of ownership, cost, and remoteness. In its interviews, the panel learned that wet pond facilities, while potential amenities, would have to be lined to retain water because of local sandy soil conditions. The panel supports studies and evaluations of alternative stormwater systems, such as infiltration devices. The 1995 master plan features neighborhood squares as sites for two civic buildings, a boat yard with a fish market, and a restaurant south of Pretty Lake Avenue. Adjacent to the Shore Drive bridge, signature towers, fountains, benches, and steps near shops, churches with parking lots at the rear of buildings, a combination of private and public frontage on the Bay, a bayside inn and restaurant, and a community center complete the design.

The Duany team based the proposed street layout on East Ocean View's characteristic patterns of rectilinear beachfront streets and curvilinear streets that conform to the natural wooded terrain between Pleasant and Pretty Lake avenues. A nearby model for the proposed street layout is the block pattern between 1st Bay and 13th Bay streets, North of Pleasant Avenue, angular streets are proposed to preserve trees, provide windbreaks, and afford views of the Bay from more interior lots.

Panel Chair Dan Van Egg richter discusses the issues facing East Ocean View.
South of Pleasant Avenue, existing trees would dictate the curvilinear alignment of roads and the shapes and locations of new parks. To reduce speed on neighborhood streets, traffic-calming devices, such as islands and horizontal and vertical shifts in road alignment, were recommended by the police.

The amenities package of the Duany plan includes a saltwater pool complex, clubhouse, tennis courts, children's play area, a restaurant-snack bar, beach pavilions, boardwalks and paths, use of natural materials, street-lighting and nighttime pedestrian experiences, traffic-calming devices, a potential marina, features to emphasize the natural beauty of the site, presentation and enhancement of clusters of live oaks and other existing trees, and devices other than security gates to discourage nonresident auto traffic.

**ADJACENT AREAS**

The redevelopment of the areas surrounding East Beach is critical to the success of the East Beach project. The following is a discussion of seven areas in East Ocean View that are not part of East Beach.

**AREA 1—SOUTHERN GATEWAY**

The southern gateway is the major gateway into the East Beach project site. This gateway starts at the intersection of Shore Drive and Little Creek Road, west of the U.S. Navy Amphibious Base. The gateway includes the Little Creek Shopping Center and the Shore Drive corridor between Little Creek Road and the Shore Drive bridge. Commercial frontage between Little Creek Road and the Shore Drive bridge consists of mixed commercial and retail uses, such as motels, gas service stations, restaurants, nightclubs, bookstores, and marine supply stores. A church and a mix of residences are also in this corridor.

The existing land uses are not compatible with the gateway image that needs to be created at the entrance to East Beach. Limited opportunities exist today to upgrade the image because of these established uses. The reconstruction of the bridge will eliminate access to Shore Drive property close to the new bridge approach, thereby placing increased pressure on traffic access to development parcels on both the east and west sides of Shore Drive south of Pretty Lake.

The city and NRHA should aggressively obtain and land bank these nonconforming parcels. A gateway overlay district should be considered for the southern gateway, as well as other gateways, to establish design criteria for future development that will enhance the image of East Beach. An "urban forest" (tree nursery) could be created as an interim landscape feature that would be partially retained when redevelopment occurs. A series of shade trees (bocages, live oaks, linden, and mahogany trees) should be planted along with various evergreens to screen and enhance the visual character of the corridor. A street tree-planting program can be enhanced and extended into vacant sites as part of this plan.

**AREA 2—COBB'S MARINA AND TAYLOR'S LANDING**

This area consists of land south of Pretty Lake, east of Shore Drive, north of Durnin Road, and west of the Amphibious Base.

Adjoining land uses include mixed residential products that are not compatible and are declining. Most of the residential buildings in the area currently are for sale. Open space between the repair facilities and the rear of the Shore Drive commercial area is underutilized. Waterfront open space is underutilized primarily because of the lack of direct access to Shore Drive.

The "urban forest" can be considered as a nursery source for the East Beach project. The character of the Shore Drive core can be maintained with the street tree program, and selected trees could be removed as nursery stock from pre-identified building pads. The gateway overlay district could then allow favorable consideration in the site plan review process for developers whose design and development plans respected the "urban forest" project, or who contributed funds or trees to the East Beach common areas or parks.

The area east of Shore Drive and directly south of Little Creek is currently a large parking lot for Taylor's Landing. Consideration should be given to relocating this parking area to the open space west of Cobb's Marina and east of the commercial frontage on Shore Drive. The parking area could then be used for a waterfront marina development site.

The commercial frontage east of Shore Drive should be acquired, cleared, and held. The same "urban forest" landscape treatment should be incorporated in this area as was mentioned in the gateway concept.
AREA 5—NORTH SHORE OF PRETTY LAKE (WEST OF SHORE DRIVE)

This area is between Pretty Lake Avenue and the north shore of Pretty Lake, which extends west of Shore Drive to 16th Bay Street. This site includes the existing recreation center and athletic field, as well as the existing residential units along the north shore of Pretty Lake.

As a result of the new elevated Shore Drive bridge, approximately 1.5 acres of land and some existing buildings located west of the existing bridge and north of Pretty Lake will be taken. The new bridge and related traffic improvements will reestablish the Pretty Lake Avenue intersection approximately 170 feet north of the existing location. Redevelopment opportunities on the north shore include new marine-themed waterfront and mixed-use retail from the new bridge to 21st Bay Street, along with new waterfront residential development from 21st Bay to 16th Bay streets. Waterside pedestrian access to semi-public and private boat slips is recommended.

The existing lakeshore athletic field and recreation center should be relocated off the waterfront. The recreation center should be expanded. New recreation facilities should be located in a north-south corridor and should extend from the current recreation center to the intersection of Pleasant Avenue and 21st Bay Street. This new open space and recreation center should be integrated with the East Ocean View residential neighborhood between Pleasant Avenue and the new Pretty Lake Avenue West.

The pedestrian link from the west would connect this site, as well as all sites to the east, to East Beach. This link could initiate building of the image to be created along the Pretty Lake corridor. Landscape elements, pedestrian lighting, screen walls, graphics, and pavement materials could be used to suggest this image. Because the area borders the water, marina slips also could be developed.

• The second area is located between the newly created site along Shore Drive and parcels controlled by private investors. The area includes marina slips.

The third area includes the marina slips located between 25th Bay and 37th Bay streets. All of the improvements being proposed for this area will be incorporated into the edge treatment concept for the north shore of Little Creek.

AREA 4—EXISTING SHOPPING CENTER AREA

The existing shopping center area is located west and south of Shore Drive and is bounded by 21st Bay Street on the west and Pretty Lake Avenue on the south. The shopping center is partially occupied. New residential and commercial development within East Beach, Bay Point, and adjacent areas could spur renovation of the center into a neighborhood shopping center, complete with new architectural treatment, landscaped parking lot, pedestrian areas including sidewalk cafes, and potential new retail construction adjacent to the center.

The Del Mar trailer park is on the west side of the Shore Drive commercial strip, north of Dunning Drive, and south and east of Pretty Lake. General opinion is that the trailer park is an underutilized land use for this location. As the largest parcel of land that anchors the eastern edge of the Pretty Lake basin, the site is key in setting the redevelopment tone of the Pretty Lake basin area.

The rebuilding of the Shore Drive bridge will affect this site. The site will become more visible with the elevation change and the offset of the new span constructed to the west of the existing location. Boat traffic into Pretty Lake will become a reality as a result, and facilities for these boats—whether private, semipublic or public—must be addressed. As one of the gateway parcels, any new or proposed land use should be consistent with the image and character being established in the East Beach project.

The commercial frontage west of Shore Drive should be acquired, cleared, and held. The same "urban forest" landscape treatment should be incorporated in this area as was mentioned in the gateway concept.
The fourth area is the boat repair and dry rack storage facility with an active marina. This existing, established, and active facility supplies boat storage and maintenance, including dry rack storage and boat slips. The visual image of this panel should be addressed relative to its proximity to the proposed adjacent residential neighborhood. Efforts should be explored to improve view corridors to the marina and water.

The fifth area, wedged between the active boat repair area and the Spy Glass marina, is south of the southern end of 30th Bay Street. This vacant site comprises approximately 3.5 to four acres and is characterized by a large boat scuttled at the water’s edge. Expansion of marina slips that can handle large boats would be effective.

Current uses in the area consist of older waterfront industrial facilities with chain-link fencing and minimal aesthetic quality. Consequently, the unattractive views and traffic negatively affect the proposed residential community north of Pretty Lake Avenue. However, the active maritime use of the boat yard by numerous types of pleasure boats, the views of the water and of related landside and waterside uses, and the nearby naval facilities and vessels present exciting opportunities for improved vistas, recreational activity, amenities, and convenient marina services for the residential community.

The panel recommends the cleanup of the Pretty Lake Avenue streetscape, including new fencing or screen walls, landscaping, lighting, and graphics. It also recommends the design and implementation of a new Pretty Lake shoreline area with pedestrian paths, recreational trails, and a boardwalk linking existing and proposed sidewalks and greenways with the Shore Drive bridge underpass.

**AREA 7—BAY POINT DEVELOPMENT**

This site is located east of 30th Bay Street along the north end of a peninsula on the Chesapeake Bay and east of the Little Creek inlet. The site runs along the eastern edge of the East Beach project.

The site plan consists of single-family residences north of the existing condominium projects that are currently built along the southeastern shore of East Ocean View. Access to the project is by Pleasant Avenue through the East Beach project. A six-foot painted brick wall separates the project from the Bay Point development on the eastern end of the peninsula. Water and sewer service are provided within the Pleasant Avenue right-of-way.

The East Beach plan calls for 30th Bay Street to be converted into an alley with units oriented to the west and away from the Bay Point project. This orientation with a wall for an edge will essentially turn the community inward away from East Beach. This existing wall should be removed to allow for integration of the Bay Point project into East Beach.

Therefore, the panel’s primary recommendation is that NHRA and the city develop and commit a funding or financing vehicle that will allow NHRA to acquire immediately all remaining land necessary to complete the 90-acre East Beach project. This financing plan should also provide for related site clearing costs, costs to remove overhead power and telephone cables, and other costs associated with cleanup of the site. Preservation of any trees significant structures, and reusable infrastructure would be recognized during this phase of work. Any displacement of existing residents that occurs as a result of this process should be viewed as an opportunity to create affordable new homes or complete housing rehabilitation projects in the adjoining neighborhood north of Pretty Lake.

**DEVELOPMENT STRATEGIES**

The city continues to target high-density, zero-maintenance residential properties, such as this one for acquisition and demolition.

**LAND ACQUISITION AND CLEARING**

After numerous interviews with elected and appointed officials, area residents, local developers, and landowners within the study area, the panel concluded that the delay in land acquisition and site cleanup due to lack of financial resources has begun seriously to jeopardize the credibility of the otherwise widely supported redevelop plan for this site. This delay reportedly has led to significant escalations in the acquisition budget for the project as well. Any further delay in the land acquisition and cleanup process will seriously undermine community support for the project.

The East Beach project involves a fairly large, complex, mixed-use community that will provide its developer with a series of challenges. Among these challenges are changing economic and market conditions during the life of the project, balancing the product mix with market segmentation and multiple builder competition (while at the same time managing a cooperative builder marketing program), and developing and managing newly formed homeowners associations. Other challenges include concep
virtualizing a market position for a project whose location gives a negative impression, along with determining the appropriate mix of builders and of product type, size, and quality. An appropriate mix of uses and the right developers can move the property to the target position needed for the success not only of the East Beach project, but also of the entire redevelopment of East Ocean View as a highly desirable residential community. The most significant of these challenges involve the following actions:

- Develop a master plan with various types of uses that will instill a sense of community while bringing together an appropriate mix of building types.
- Allow for open spaces to reinforce the feeling of community.
- Overlay this plan with market demand and economic reality.

**PROPOSED RESPONSIBILITY MATRIX**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTION</th>
<th>PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITY OF NRHA</th>
<th>JOINT RESPONSIBILITY</th>
<th>PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITY OF MASTER DEVELOPERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acquire land</td>
<td>✅</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear land</td>
<td>✅</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove overhead utilities</td>
<td>✅</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relocate existing residents</td>
<td>✅</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduct market research</td>
<td>✅</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop economic module and business plans</td>
<td>✅</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project visioning</td>
<td>✅</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop master plan</td>
<td>✅</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop detailed engineering plans</td>
<td>✅</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete micro-site planning</td>
<td>✅</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establish architectural design standards</td>
<td>✅</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establish construction standards</td>
<td>✅</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secure project funding (per development agreement)</td>
<td>✅</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales and marketing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify and select builder(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relate master plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop and implement phasing plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordinate and supervise builders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overview development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apply design standards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form homeowners association</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SELLING THE OPPORTUNITY**

A professional staff, which is experienced in this type of planned community.
- Regional relationships with the real estate relocation and brokerage community.
- Relationships with the best builders, which can be leveraged to allow them to build in East Beach; and
- A seasoned ability to recognize and adjust to changing market forces and to customer preferences or demands.

East Beach is so important to the future of East Ocean View in particular, and to Norfolk, in general, that its development should be entrusted only to a developer with proven experience in successfully completing communities of this type and quality. The panel emphatically recommends that the city and NRHA select such a developer to complete the project.

**ADRESSING ADJACENCIES TO EAST BEACH**

By selecting a master developer for the East Beach project, NRHA would then be able to concentrate its attention and expertise on critically needed conservation and on housing and community rehabilitation needs in the areas surrounding the East Beach site, especially the area from Shore Drive to the west and the south of East Ocean View Avenue. A tremendous potential exists for preserving a significant amount of reasonably affordable housing stock in this area—if the residents can be encouraged and enabled through the technical and financial resources of NRHA to make the transition from being rental tenants in solid but poorly maintained homes to being owners of cottages in the attractively renovated beach community. NRHA already has the programmatic and financial capacity for this work, which is a natural complement to the new housing development planned for more expensive property closer to the beach. This effort would also provide new infill housing opportunities.

NRHA also should undertake in the area south of East Ocean View the systematic acquisition of many small blocks of inappropriate, high-density apartments and the redevelopment of those sites into more suitable structures.

**ADRESSING ADJACENCIES WITHIN EAST BEACH**

The Duany plan was heavily slanted toward a lot-by-lot mosaic approach to planning for various types of dwelling units across the entire project area. This approach may introduce more architectural diversity than the traditional block layout approach, which has been used in many planned communities across the nation. However, this methodology is feasible only when small pieces of the project area are being developed by a handful of builders at any given time. The panel recommends that when creating the master plan, the developer focus on zones of housing types that reflect market demand while creating an environment that fosters open exchange among potential residents of various socioeconomic levels. The panel believes that this development strategy will be most attractive to potential developers of the site.
GATEWAY STRATEGIES

The approach to the East Beach site and to all of Ocean View along Shore Drive from Little Creek desperately needs attention. The most urgent concern could be addressed by the negotiated acquisition of the frontage along each side of Shore Drive from Dunning Road across the reconstructed bridge over Pretty Lake and extending to the Ship’s Cabin Restaurant. This area should be cleared and heavily planted to provide an attractive gateway to the community. As plants mature and require thinning, they can provide stock for later stages of development within the remainder of the project. This landscaping, combined with the Bay views provided by the elevated design of the new Shore Drive bridge, will offer a truly exciting entrance and sense of arrival to anyone approaching the revitalized beachfront community. As development progresses and a consumer market is created, the city and the NRHA should be sensitive to opportunities to encourage private developers to create higher-level and more compatible retail opportunities in these parcels.

COLLABORATION

Various incentives should be explored to motivate property owners in the remaining adjacent tracts to redevelop and upgrade their properties. Among these incentives might be partial or temporary tax abatement or financial incentives such as low-interest economic development loans, housing loans, and other proven devices. For example, the trailer park on the south shore of Pretty Lake seems to be an underutilization of the site, especially in view of the bridge and other neighborhood improvements proposed for this area. The property owner or a private developer might well be motivated by this type of incentive to redevelop the site to a higher and better use.

The development pace suggested by these recommendations will be intense and complicated. The success of the East Beach project and the future of all of Ocean View will depend on the expeditious and orderly execution of this work. While certain pressures are expected to be borne by the master developer of the East Beach project, the NRHA must likely be required to address new and nonstandard issues, as well as to maintain its standards of performance in its myriad other responsibilities throughout the city. For this reason, the panel strongly endorses the idea of a dedicated staff position be set up in the Office of the Executive Director of the Housing Authority or the Director of Development to manage such responsibilities.

IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY

Ultimately, the ideas presented in this report are only useful if they can be effectively and successfully implemented. Accordingly, the panel has set realistic implementation as its guiding principle. Long-term success will require the city’s leadership during the many months and years that it will take for East Beach to be fully developed. Over these years, elements of this plan and the recommended strategy will inevitably change. Nevertheless, a series of immediate steps can be taken, which the panel believes will establish a strong foundation for the future development of this important and valuable site.

Based on the major recommendations set forth in previous sections of this report, the following implementation strategy is recommended. Key implementation issues are addressed in the general order in which they are likely to occur. The key steps to implementation are then presented as a summary time line at the end of this section.

ACQUISITION

The panel recommends the NRHA use various strategies and financing options to acquire 100 percent of the East Beach property as soon as possible, but in no event later than June 30, 2000. It is critical to the success of the East Beach redevelopment that the city government appropriate the full cost of acquisition (not necessarily demolition) in FY99 and FY00 to enable NRHA to commit to any remaining purchase by June 30, 2000. The following sources of financing should be explored:

- Request a qualified redevelopment tax bond (ORB) allocation in FY90 to fund the full cost of the remaining acquisition, which may entail raising the debt limit in the short term.
- Borrow Section 108 funds for acquisition.
- Place purchase options on properties to be exercised beyond 2000 with future ORB proceeds.

- Dedicate proceeds of the disposition of any NRHA asset to acquisition.
- If necessary, supplement acquisition funds with general revenues.

In addition to committing the necessary funds for acquisition, NRHA should use other strategies to quicken the pace of attaining site control of the whole East Ocean View Conservation District. As noted above, NRHA should begin negotiating purchase options with sellers. The options should have exercise dates that are consistent with the availability of acquisition funds. This approach will enable NRHA to continue to negotiate purchase agreements with willing sellers, despite having obligated all currently available funds. Thus, NRHA will lock in prices, speed settlements once funds are available, and remove uncertainty from the market and for the property owners.
NRHA should also explore the potential for bulk purchases from multiple owners through negotiations with the various property owners associations in East Ocean View. Many smaller acquisitions (duplicative and four- and eight-plexes) remain to be negotiated and settled. A negotiated bulk sale would benefit both NRHA and sellers by consolidating negotiations and property appraisals. This approach would shorten the negotiating time, eliminate repetitive administrative procedures (e.g., single procurements of appraisals), reduce per-unit transaction costs, and eliminate lengthy and expensive legal proceedings. For this approach to be worthwhile, a substantial number of existing property owners would have to agree to be subject to an agreed-upon evaluation process. A typical approach would be for each side to select a appraisal firm with specified accreditation standards. For properties in which the two appraised values were within a specified variance, the purchase price would be the average of the two appraisals. Thus, properties with appraised values greater than the specified variance would be appraised by a third appraisal firm. Only if the final sales price was within the variance would the sales price be the middle value. The panel understands that this will be a truly challenging task given some of the past history, but both sides would stand to benefit significantly from the time saved.

To accurately project acquisition costs and remove uncertainty that may inhibit investment, NRHA should immediately identify those few properties, primarily on the peninsula of East Beach, that are in very good condition and that it does not intend to acquire.

THE SELECTION OF A DEVELOPMENT PARTNER

The success of the East Beach initiative will depend largely on identifying and selecting a top-quality development team. As with countless public-private efforts across the nation, the East Beach team should be brought on board through a formal RFP process. The quality of this process will have a dramatic impact on the type of development partner that is found, and, in turn, on whether East Beach truly becomes a national model of innovative urban revitalization.

The RFP process, including the RFP document itself and all related materials and statements, plays a two important roles; each of which will need to be carefully considered as East Beach efforts move forward.

First, the RFP is a marketing tool. From the initial public notice in magazines or newspapers, the test and photographs in the document, to the manner in which site visits are handled, every action that the city takes conveys a message about Norfolk and about the East Beach opportunity. Development capital is fluid. East Beach is likely to be competing for the investment focus of developers whose alternative focus may be elsewhere in Hampton Roads, the mid-Atlantic region, or the nation. With every communication, it is essential that these messages are conveyed.

Second, the RFP is the opening position of a complex negotiation. Within the basic framework of a financial offer, a transactional structure, and development agreements, are all part of the RFP process. Too often during project negotiations, a development team will invoke some aspect of an RFP to have the RFP sponsor explain “well... that was that we meant by that section.” Clearly, as to expectation is essential. Developers are far more wary of indemnity municipalities than of ones with a clear, albeit tough, sense of purpose. Of course, there will be aspects of the RFP particularly related to financial issues that the city may want to leave unresolved or even vague. The key is simply that the RFP be recognized as the opening phase of extended negotiations and that all decisions or actions be made in that strategic context. As with any large-scale transaction, there will be the inevitable challenge of balancing marketing objectives with negotiating objectives.

The panel recommends that the East Beach RFP be managed in two stages. The first stage could be a Request for Qualifications (RFQ), in which potential respondents can be identified through outreach, networking, and public notices.

The panel recommends that the city select no more than four, or ideally three, teams to continue with a formal Request for Proposals (RFP). The narrow list of “qualified” RFP achieves several goals; first, it allows the RFP process worth the development team’s time because of the limited pool of competitors. Second, by stating clearly (as part of the RFQ) the city will not select no more than three or four qualified respondents, the city increases its flexibility to provide top-quality RFP responses. In the second stage, the qualified development teams will be invited to participate in a detailed RFP process. As part of their responses, teams will be asked to present a comprehensive business plan for how they will turn the East Beach vision into a reality.

FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

The financial structure for the East Beach transaction will be the subject of considerable negotiation and could include several components.

Land Sales: The primary form of payment will be in the purchase of lots. This is the terms of these purchases could all be established up front and could include some escalation factors based on inflation or value of retail sales. The extent to which the city obligates the developer to draw down or buy parcels, regardless of market demand, will also affect pricing. The City, given the city's substantial investments, as well as the likelihood that land sales revenues will not cover all acquisition costs, it may be appropriate to include some form of participation in profits from development. Participation components can require considerable oversight, and those components need to be structured in as simple and straightforward a manner as possible (e.g., as a percentage of gross proceeds).

Development Agreement

After selecting the developer, NRHA will prepare and send a draft letter of intent as an attachment to the notice of selection. At a minimum, the development agreement should include the following:

- A schedule for the drawdown of development parcels that the developer will be obligated to execute, perhaps with allowances to request and receive a hiatus in the schedule on the basis of adverse market conditions.
- Formulas for determining prices the developer will pay for development parcels.
- The structure of the land transactions. (The panel recommends a structure similar to the one used by NRHA in its recent Bay Side developments, in which NRHA assumed the land risk while the developer assumed the marketing risk. NRHA then took back a note, which was fully subordinated to all construction financing and which would be repaid on a pari passu basis at the settlement of the homebuyer's purchase.)
- Provision for the developer to prepare design standards and covenants and submit them to NRHA for review and approval before recording;
- Provision for periodic inspections by NRHA to ensure compliance with the standards and covenants;
- Incorporation of the agreement upon site plan and subdivision and of procedures to allow the plan in response to changing market demand;
- Criteria that the developer will use in selecting builders;
- Specification of the range of product types, square footages, and price points and procedures for amendment in response to market demand;
- Specification of the number of speculative units, including models, required for each product type;
- Specification of the common amenities and a schedule for their construction; and
- Provision for the coordination between the developer and the appropriate city agencies in marketing the East Beach community.
INFRASTRUCTURE
Planning, phasing, and constructing the infrastructure should be the developer's responsibility. Several options should be considered for financing the infrastructure improvements:
- The city of Norfolk should issue general obligation bonds.
- The builders should finance the infrastructure improvements and receive a credit toward land purchase.
- The community development authority should be established, as permitted under Virginia law.

The panel suggests that the city and NRHA seriously consider establishing a community development authority. These districts have the authority to finance the acquisition and construction of infrastructure by issuing tax-exempt revenue bonds, which will reduce the financing costs of infrastructure construction. The bonds are secured by special taxes that are based on value and assessments that are based on benefit. There is no financial exposure to the local government, and the bond issue does not count against the city's millage cap. The debt is retired by tax payments based on each property's assessment. There may be flexibility in how the use assessment is allocated among the various development parcels. Such flexibility would allow higher-priced products to bear a greater proportion of the allocation. Developers and builders may be permitted to pay a portion of the infrastructure cost up front, thereby reducing the property assessments and increasing product affordability.

FINANCING
The city should finance the land acquisition and the demolition of existing improvements and infrastructure as described previously. The city should also finance the developer’s acquisition of development parcels as described in the preceding section. The security interest that any lender has in the land will have to be fully subordinated to the anticipated construction financing.

The developer or its building agents, or both, will be fully responsible for arranging the construction financing, for providing any needed credit enhancement, and for repaying the debt. NRHA should be aware that the construction loan repayment structure (e.g., an acceleration over par repayment) may require an accrual of a portion of the repayment of the NRHA loan until the final units of the development parcel are sold.

The city and NRHA should begin to plan now for special mortgage products. With the currently favorable interest rate environment, the city may want to consider issuing tax-exempt bonds to raise below-market mortgage money. These mortgages could be targeted to East Beach or the larger Ocean View community or could be generally available. The city should market the purchase of these bonds to area banks. With the more stringent investment test now required under the Community Reinvestment Act, many banks are in need of qualified investments, and purchase of these bonds is a qualified investment. NRHA should also consider providing a second mortgage product with community development block grant or similar funding mechanisms that would assist buyers with downpayment and closing costs. Second mortgages would not require monthly payments and would be forgiven pro rata over a period of five or ten years. This kind of program is a strong marketing tool and would greatly enhance absorption of the attached and cottage units (more than 230 units to be absorbed) by reducing the cash needed to purchase and by acting as a cash gift over time.

NRHA should identify a housing counseling agency to provide counseling services and to operate a homebuyers club for residents of the Willoughby/Ocean View communities. These services should be made available approximately 12 to 18 months before construction begins. Such services would draw a natural pool of buyers for the attached and cottage homes—current residents of Ocean View looking to move up the housing ladder. NRHA should begin discussions with area mortgage lenders once acquisition is completed. The purpose of the discussions is to market mortgage lending opportunities in East Beach, to collect mortgage product information from lenders, and to discuss the special mortgage products that are available to buyers in East Beach. A group tour of Ocean View could be followed by a meeting somewhere in East Beach that has a Bay View.

REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS
NRHA should secure as many regulatory approvals as possible as it progresses through acquisition. Securing these approvals would add value to the land and would enable the developer to begin construction sooner. Amendments to the master plan and any environmental reviews and approvals are examples of regulatory approvals that NRHA should secure. Subdivision of the lots and the establishment of any easements or land covenants should be the responsibility of the developer, not NRHA.

PROPOSED TIMETABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTION</th>
<th>TO BE COMPLETED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City adopts proposed development strategy</td>
<td>12/98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City takes necessary legal action to secure commitment of financing for accelerated acquisition</td>
<td>1/99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFP for development team released</td>
<td>3/99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short list of RFP respondents selected</td>
<td>4/99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development partner conditionally selected</td>
<td>6/99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground broken on first homes under master development</td>
<td>9/99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entire East Beach property acquired</td>
<td>6/00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TIMETABLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION

The following table summarizes the key steps that will need to be taken to implement the development of East Beach in the manner proposed. Although this timetable is, of course, subject to modification as the city moves ahead, the panel has outlined what it believes is a realistic and achievable timetable for action. The panel believes that an aggressive schedule is necessary to help move the East Beach project ahead. The schedule is meant as a guide for the city, which may wish to modify the timetable if it is not feasible in meeting some deadlines. Several key milestones must be considered as part of implementation.

ADJACENT SITES

As addressed earlier in this report, the strategic sites adjacent to East Beach offer an excellent opportunity both to support and to be supported by the proposed East Beach redevelopment.
DEL-MAR TRAILER PARK

This site offers a tremendous opportunity for long-term redevelopment. Given the single ownership of the site, however, this opportunity is likely to be achievable without significant public intervention. The city should consider and, if appropriate, recommend a range of preferred uses for this site (e.g., single-family homes with a small retail and office corridor along Shore Drive). Furthermore, the city should work closely with the current landowner to market this site and, where appropriate, assist in negotiations with prospective developers by helping to streamline the approvals and by making financial incentives available.

ENSURING CONTINUED SUCCESS

The key to long-term success often rests less with the original plan itself than with the implementer's ability to respond offensively and defensively and, where necessary, to modify actions to achieve the long-term success. In conjunction with the proposed East Beach effort, the panel recommends that an evaluation program be established whereby results can be monitored. Progress against the target dates set forth in the project timetable should be reviewed, and additional actions recommended when necessary.

It is imperative that the communications strategy highlighted earlier in this report be pursued in conjunction with the regular monitoring of project efforts. These are additional effective ways to build credibility and support them through clear, ongoing communications with community residents as to what is happening. Marketing must extend to the entire Hampton Roads region, and ultimately, as East Beach becomes a national model of urban revitalization, the marketing of the site and the city should be broadcast across the nation.

CONCLUSIONS

Progress has been made in Ocean View since 1987, although it has not been made as quickly or as extensively as some would like. But it is important to remember that 1989-1994 were recession years. Approximately 74,000 jobs were lost in the region, and still progress was made. The city is to be commended.

Three priorities emerged during the panel study.

- Refocus NRHAs' efforts on finishing the acquisition process in East Beach and then on continuing to stabilize adjacent areas through "spot" acquisitions.
- The recommendations in this report can help the city of Norfolk meet these priorities and can make East Ocean View an area of which it can be proud.
- Find funding and move quickly to acquire remaining parcels in East Beach.
- Privatize development of East Beach by selecting a master developer from the private sector for the project.
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Institute of Architects for various
redevelopment projects in Santa
Ana, Orange County, California and
the Bahamas. He is a member of
the Orange County Young En-
trepreneur Organization, which is
a forum for select business
owners under the age of 40.

A native of Boston, Gollis graduated from Brown University with a degree in International re-
lations, focusing on political sci-
ence, economic development, and
history.

RICHARD M. GOLLIS
Newport Beach, California

Christopher B. LoPiano is
development manager for the
NationsBank Community Devel-
opment Corporation (CDC) Mid-
Atlantic region. In this capacity,
he is responsible for Nations-
Bank CDC development efforts in
Maryland, Virginia, and Wash-
ington, D.C. He also manages the
NationsBank CDC office in Wash-
ington, D.C.

The NationsBank CDC is a
wholly owned subsidiary of Na-
tionsBank and was chartered by
the Office of the Comptroller for
the Currency for the purpose of
investing in community develop-
ment projects. The NationsBank
CDC is an equity partner with com-
munity-based developers and is
an active owner/developer of real
estate properties. While the Na-
tionsBank CDC invests primarily
in affordable housing (both rental
and for-sale) it also invests in
commercial and industrial projects
whose purpose is job creation.

Before joining NationsBank in
January 1991, LoPiano served
as deputy director of the Marshall
Heights Community Development
Organization (MHDCO), which is
a nationally recognized commu-
nity development corporation in
Washington, D.C., and which fo-
cuses primarily on rental and for-
sale affordable housing and retail
and industrial development. At
MHDCO, he was responsible for
the housing and economic devel-
opment program and served as
chief financial officer. Before join-
ing MHDCO in 1983, LoPiano
worked for a community develop-
ment corporation in Baltimore,
Maryland, where he was respon-
sible for commercial real estate
and small business development.

CHRISTOPHER B. LOLIANO
Washington, D.C.

In addition to his more than
16 years of professional experience
in community development,
LoPiano has been active in the
Coalition for Non-Profit Housing
Development, an association of
organizations dedicated to the
production of affordable housing.
He has served as its treasurer and
provided a leadership role in the
capacity building activities of the
Coalition. LoPiano also serves as
president of the board of the
Neighborhood Design Center, based in Baltimore. Working
through design professionals who
 donate their time, this group facili-
tates providing free design ser-
ices to neighborhood and non-
profit organizations in Maryland.

LoPiano earned a bachelor of
arts degree in economics and
government from Georgetown
University in Washington, D.C.

DAVID S. PANDOLI, ASLA
Miami, Florida

David S. Pandoli is a land
planner and registered landscape
architect with 20 years of experi-
ence in projects ranging from
large-scale planning projects to
multidisciplinary, large-scale pri-
vate development work. He has
tensive hotel and resort develop-
ment experience in the Caribbean
and in South America and has also
participated in resort planning in
the Middle East and the Pacific
Rim. He has been responsible for
managing multidisciplinary de-
sign teams, including architects,
engineers, and market consultants,
while acting as the developer's
agent in implementing the major-
ity of those projects.

Pandoli has extensive expe-
rience in large-scale urban plan-
ning projects, land use zoning,
and corridor development proj-
ets. Besides working with the
Development of Regional Impact
process in the state of Florida,
he has participated in numerous
growth management assignments.
His experience covers commercial
development projects and mixed-
use residential/golf course com-
munity and master-planned
build projects. He has worked as
a construction administrator and
has an extensive background in
site systems construction.